

On a Problem of N. Kirchoff and R. J. Nessel

R. GETSADZE*

*Tbilisi State University, Mech.-Math. Faculty,
I. Chavchavadze Avenue, 1, 380028 Tbilisi, Republic of Georgia*

Communicated by Paul L. Butzer

Received April 30, 1993; accepted August 17, 1993

This paper is devoted to the solution of a problem of N. Kirchoff and R. J. Nessel on the existence of a function $f \in C_{2\pi}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n f(x) - f(x)|}{|T_n f(x) - f(x)|} = +\infty$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where F_n is the trigonometric convolution operator and T_n is its discrete analogue. © 1995 Academic Press, Inc.

Let $C_{2\pi}$ be the Banach space of functions f , 2π -periodic and continuous on the real axis \mathbb{R} , endowed with the usual sup-norm $\|f\|_c := \sup\{|f(u)| : u \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

For an even polynomial kernel of degree n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (set of natural numbers), given by

$$X_n(x) := \sum_{k=-n}^n \rho_{k,n} e^{ikx} \tag{1}$$

with $\rho_{-k,n} = \rho_{k,n}$, $\rho_{0,n} = 1$, and for $f \in C_{2\pi}$ let the trigonometric convolution operator be defined by

$$F_n f(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(u) X_n(x-u) du \tag{2}$$

and its discrete analogue by ($u_{j,n} = 2\pi j/2n + 1$, $0 \leq j \leq 2n$)

$$T_n f(x) := \frac{1}{2n+1} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{2n} f(u_{j,n}) X_n(x-u_{j,n}). \tag{3}$$

* Current address: Professor R. Getsadze, Moscow State University, Sector B-1367, Vorobyovi gori, B-234, 117234 Moscow, Russia.

For $h_k(x) := e^{ikx}$, $k \in Z$ (set of integers), one has

$$F_n h_k(x) = \rho_{k,n} h_k(x) = T_n h_k(x) \quad (|k| \leq n). \quad (4)$$

For the relations between operators F_n and T_n see [3, 4].

From the results of N. Kirchoff and R. J. Nessel (cf. [2, p. 35]) it follows that if

$$\|X_n\|_1 := \int_0^{2\pi} |X_n(u)| du = O(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (5)$$

and

$$1 - \rho_{j,n} = O_j\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad (j \in N, n \rightarrow \infty), \quad (6)$$

then there exists a counterexample $f_0 \in C_{2\pi}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|T_n f_0(x) - f_0(x)|}{|F_n f_0(x) - f_0(x)|} = +\infty \quad (7)$$

for almost every $x \in R$.

In the proof of this result use is made of the following extension of Calderon's lemma (cf. [5, p. 165]).

THEOREM (N. Kirchoff and R. J. Nessel [2, p. 30]). *Let $H_k, D_k \subset R$ be (Lebesgue) measurable subsets such that H_k is 2π -periodic and D_k belongs to $[0, 2\pi]$ with Lebesgue measure $\mu(D_k) \neq 0$ for each $k \in N$. Suppose that*

$$\left\| \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\mu(D_k \cap (H_k - t))}{\mu(D_k)} \right) \right\|_1 = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (8)$$

Then there exist points $y_k \in D_k$ such that $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (H_k - y_k) := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} (H_k - y_k)$ is a set of full measure.

In [2, p. 38] is posed the problem on the existence (under the conditions (5) and (6)) of a counterexample $f \in C_{2\pi}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n f(x) - f(x)|}{|T_n f(x) - f(x)|} = +\infty$$

for almost every $x \in R$.

The present paper is devoted to the solution of this problem. Namely, we shall prove the following

THEOREM. *Let (5) and (6) hold. Then there exists a (real-valued) counterexample $f \in C_{2\pi}$ such that*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n f(x) - f(x)|}{|T_n f(x) - f(x)|} = +\infty \tag{9}$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

First we shall prove a number of lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let (5) and (6) hold. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|X_n\|_c = +\infty. \tag{10}$$

Proof. It is clear that (cf. (1))

$$\|X_n\|_2^2 := \int_0^{2\pi} X_n^2(u) du = 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \rho_{k,n}^2.$$

Then from (6) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|X_n\|_2^2 = +\infty. \tag{11}$$

But according to (5) we obtain

$$\|X_n\|_2^2 \leq \|X_n\|_c \cdot \|X_n\|_1 = O(\|X_n\|_c).$$

Now taking account of (11) we conclude that Lemma 1 is proved.

LEMMA 2. *Let (5) and (6) hold. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a number $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that*

$$\left(\alpha, \alpha + \frac{1}{2n}\right) \subset [0, 2\pi) \tag{12}$$

and for any $u \in (\alpha, \alpha + 1/2n)$ one has

$$|X_n(u)| > \frac{1}{2} \|X_n\|_c. \tag{13}$$

Proof. Let $x_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$ be a point such that

$$|X_n(x_0)| = \|X_n\|_c. \tag{14}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $X_n(x_0) > 0$. According to the theorems of Lagrange and Bernstein, if $|h| \in (0, 1/2n)$, then there exists a number $\xi \in (0, |h|)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |X_n(x_0 + h) - X_n(x_0)| &= |X_n'(\xi)| \cdot |h| \leq \|X_n'\|_c \cdot |h| \\ &\leq n \|X_n\|_c \cdot |h| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|X_n\|_c. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if $|h| \in (0, 1/2n)$, then (cf. (14))

$$X_n(x_0 + h) \geq X_n(x_0) - \frac{1}{2} \|X_n\|_c = \frac{1}{2} \|X_n\|_c.$$

It is obvious that either $x_0 + 1/2n \in [0, 2\pi)$ or $x_0 - 1/2n \in [0, 2\pi)$ and thus Lemma 2 is proved.

For convenience we shall use a notation

$$w(n) := \|X_n\|_c, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (15)$$

We introduce the sets ($n > n_0$)

$$E_n = \bigcup_{j=0}^{2n} \left(\frac{2\pi j}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2n\sqrt{w(n)}}, \frac{2\pi(j+1)}{2n+1} \right), \quad (16)$$

where $n_0 > 2$ is chosen such that (cf. (10), (15))

$$w(n) > (64\pi)^2 \quad (n > n_0). \quad (17)$$

LEMMA 3. *Let (5) and (6) hold. Then for any $n \geq n_0$ there exist a real-valued trigonometric polynomial $P_n(x)$ and a set $A_n \subset [0, 2\pi]$ such that (cf. (2), (15), (16))*

$$\|P_n\|_c \leq 2, \quad (18)$$

$$A_n \subset E_n, \quad (19)$$

$$\mu A_n \geq \gamma_1, \quad (20)$$

$$|F_n P_n(x) - P_n(x)| \geq \frac{C_1 \sqrt{w(n)}}{n} \quad (x \in A_n), \quad (21)$$

and

$$|P_n(x)| \leq \frac{1}{nw(n)} \quad (x \in E_n), \quad (22)$$

where n_0 , C_1 , and γ_1 are positive constants.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that the number α from Lemma 2 satisfies the condition

$$0 < \alpha < \pi. \tag{23}$$

Let j_0 be an integer such that

$$\frac{2\pi(j_0 - 2)}{2n + 1} - \alpha \leq 0 < \frac{2\pi(j_0 - 1)}{2n + 1} - \alpha. \tag{24}$$

Taking account of (24) and (23) we obtain

$$1 < j_0 < n + 3. \tag{25}$$

We introduce the sets ($j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$)

$$B_n^{(j)} := \left(\frac{2\pi j}{2n + 1} + \frac{1}{2n \sqrt{w(n)}} - \alpha - \frac{1}{2n}, \frac{2\pi j}{2n + 1} - \alpha \right), \tag{26}$$

$$C_n^{(j)} := \left[\frac{2\pi j}{2n + 1}, \frac{2\pi j}{2n + 1} + \frac{1}{2n \sqrt{w(n)}} \right]. \tag{27}$$

Let $f_n^{(j)}(x)$ ($j = j_0, \dots, 2n$) be 2π -periodic function defined by the following equality (cf. (27))

$$f_n^{(j)}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in C_n^{(j)} \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in [0, 2\pi) \setminus C_n^{(j)}. \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

It is easy to see that for $n > n_0$ we have (cf. (17), (24)–(28))

$$\text{supp } f_n^{(p)}(x) \cap \text{supp } f_n^{(q)}(x) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } j_0 \leq p \neq q \leq 2n, \tag{29}$$

$$B_n^{(p)} \cap B_n^{(q)} = \emptyset \quad \text{for } j_0 \leq p \neq q \leq 2n, \tag{30}$$

$$B_n^{(j)} \subset [0, 2\pi) \quad \text{for } j_0 \leq j \leq 2n, \tag{31}$$

$$C_n^{(j)} \subset [0, 2\pi) \quad \text{for } j_0 \leq j \leq 2n. \tag{32}$$

Consider the set (cf. (26) and (24))

$$B_n := \bigcup_{j=j_0}^{2n} B_n^{(j)}. \tag{33}$$

Then from (33), (26), (30), (25), (17) we have ($n > n_0$)

$$\mu B_n = (2n - j_0 + 1) \left(\frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{2n \sqrt{w(n)}} \right) \geq \frac{1}{4}. \tag{34}$$

We shall show that if $x \in B_n^{(i_0)}$ for some $i_0, j_0 \leq i_0 \leq 2n$, then

$$|F_n f_n^{(i_0)}(x)| > \frac{1}{8\pi} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{w(n)}}{n}. \quad (35)$$

Indeed (cf. (2), (28)) we have

$$F_n f_n^{(i_0)}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_{C_n^{(i_0)}} X_n(u-x) du. \quad (36)$$

Further we note that when $u \in C_n^{(i_0)}$ and $x \in B_n^{(i_0)}$ (cf. (26), (27)), then

$$u-x \in (\alpha, \alpha + 1/2n). \quad (37)$$

According to Lemma 2 (cf. (13), (15)) we have

$$|X_n(y)| > \frac{1}{2} w(n) \quad \text{for } y \in (\alpha, \alpha + 1/2n), \quad (38)$$

and, consequently, the function $X_n(y)$ preserves its sign on the interval $(\alpha, \alpha + 1/2n)$ as a real, continuous function. This means that (cf. (36)–(38), (27))

$$\begin{aligned} |F_n f_n^{(i_0)}(x)| &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{C_n^{(i_0)}} X_n(u-x) du \right| \\ &> \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{2} w(n) \cdot \mu C_n^{(i_0)} \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{w(n)}}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now (35) is proved.

We introduce the function (cf. (28), (24))

$$\Phi_n^{(t)}(x) = \sum_{j=j_0}^{2n} r_j(t) f_n^{(j)}(x), \quad x \in [0, 2\pi), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (39)$$

where $\{r_j(t)\}_{j=j_0}^{2n}$ are the Rademacher functions.

The following easily verifiable fact is well known (cf., for example, [1, p. 10]): Let $\sum_{j=1}^m a_j r_j(t)$ be an arbitrary polynomial in the Rademacher system and i_0 be a fixed natural number, $1 \leq i_0 \leq m$. Then

$$\mu \left\{ t \in (0, 1) : a_{i_0} r_{i_0}(t) \cdot \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^m a_j r_j(t) \geq 0 \right\} \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (40)$$

Let (cf. (33), (39))

$$Q = \left\{ (x, t) \in B_n \times (0, 1) : |F_n \Phi_n^{(t)}(x)| > \frac{1}{8\pi} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{w(n)}}{n} \right\}. \quad (41)$$

Then according to (41), (33), (35), and (40), we conclude that for all $x \in B_n$ we have the inequality

$$\int_0^1 X_Q(x, t) dt \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

where X_Q is the characteristic function of the set Q .

Therefore (cf. (34))

$$\int_{B_n} \int_0^1 X_Q(x, t) dt \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mu B_n \geq \frac{1}{8}.$$

Consequently, by Fubini's theorem there exists a number $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\int_{B_n} X_Q(x, t_0) dx \geq \frac{1}{8}. \tag{42}$$

Relation (42) means that (cf. (41))

$$\mu \left\{ x \in B_n : |F_n \Phi_n^{(t_0)}(x)| > \frac{1}{8\pi} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{w(n)}}{n} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{8}. \tag{43}$$

Let

$$A_n := \left\{ x \in B_n : |F_n \Phi_n^{(t_0)}(x)| > \frac{1}{8\pi} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{w(n)}}{n} \right\} \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{2n} C_n^{(j)}. \tag{44}$$

Then it is clear that (cf. (43), (44), (27), (17)) for $n > n_0$

$$\mu A_n \geq \frac{1}{8} - \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \mu C_n^{(j)} = \frac{1}{8} - \frac{2n+1}{2n\sqrt{w(n)}} \geq \frac{1}{16} \tag{45}$$

and (cf. (16))

$$A_n \subset E_n. \tag{46}$$

According to (39), (16), (27), (28) we have

$$\Phi_n^{(t_0)}(x) = 0 \quad (x \in E_n) \tag{47}$$

and (cf. (44))

$$|F_n \Phi_n^{(t_0)}(x)| > \frac{1}{8\pi} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{w(n)}}{n} \quad (x \in A_n). \tag{48}$$

Introduce the sets ($j = j_0, \dots, 2n$)

$$\Omega_n^{(j)} := \left(\frac{2\pi j}{2n+1} + \varepsilon_n, \frac{2\pi j}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2n\sqrt{w(n)}} - \varepsilon_n \right), \quad (49)$$

$$K_n^{(j)} := \left[\frac{2\pi j}{2n+1}, \frac{2\pi j}{2n+1} + \varepsilon_n \right], \quad (50)$$

$$Q_n^{(j)} := \left[\frac{2\pi j}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2n\sqrt{w(n)}} - \varepsilon_n, \frac{2\pi j}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2n\sqrt{w(n)}} \right], \quad (51)$$

$$R_n^{(j)} := \left(\frac{2\pi j}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2n\sqrt{w(n)}}, \frac{2\pi(j+1)}{2n+1} \right), \quad (52)$$

where

$$\varepsilon_n := \frac{1}{64n^2\sqrt{w(n)}}. \quad (53)$$

Now we define the piecewise linear function $g_n \in C_{2\pi}$ by the following equality (cf. (49)–(52))

$$g_n(x) := \begin{cases} \Phi_n^{(t_0)}(x) & \text{for } x \in \bigcup_{j=j_0}^{2n} \Omega_n^{(j)}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \left[0, \frac{2\pi j_0}{2n+1} \right] \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=j_0}^{2n} R_n^{(j)} \right), \\ \text{linear} & \text{on } K_n^{(j)} \text{ and } Q_n^{(j)} \quad (j = j_0, \dots, 2n). \end{cases} \quad (54)$$

It is obvious that (cf. (54), (28), (29), (39))

$$\|g_n\|_c \leq 1 \quad (55)$$

and (cf. (2), (48), (25), (28), (39), (54), (50), (51), (53), (15)) for $x \in A_n$

$$\begin{aligned} |F_n g_n(x)| &\geq |F_n \Phi_n^{(t_0)}(x)| - |F_n(\Phi_n^{(t_0)}(x) - g_n(x))| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8\pi} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{w(n)}}{n} - \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \int_{\bigcup_{j=j_0}^{2n} (K_n^{(j)} \cup Q_n^{(j)})} |X_n(x-u)| du \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8\pi} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{w(n)}}{n} - \frac{1}{\pi} w(n) \cdot 4\varepsilon_n \cdot n \\ &\geq \frac{1}{16\pi} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{w(n)}}{n}. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

According to (54), (27), (16), and (49)–(51)

$$g_n(x) = 0 \quad (x \in E_n). \tag{57}$$

Then we find a real-valued trigonometric polynomial $P_n(x)$ such that

$$\|g_n - P_n\|_c \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{n \cdot w(n)}, \frac{1}{16n \sqrt{w(n)}}\right). \tag{58}$$

Taking account of (58), (55), (56), (15), (17), and (57) we obtain for $n > n_0$

$$\|P_n\|_c \leq 2, \tag{59}$$

$$|F_n P_n(x)| > \frac{1}{32\pi} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{w(n)}}{n} \quad (x \in A_n) \tag{60}$$

$$|P_n(x)| \leq \frac{1}{n \cdot w(n)} \quad (x \in E_n). \tag{61}$$

Consequently (cf. (17), (59)–(61), (45), (46), (18)–(22)), Lemma 3 is proved.

Now we begin with the proof of the theorem. By induction we define a sequence of natural numbers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ increasing at infinity. Let $n_1 = n_0 + 1$, where n_0 is the number appearing in Lemma 2.

Now let the numbers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{k-1} be already defined. Consider the function (cf. (15))

$$\alpha_k^{(1)}(x, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{w(n_j)}} \cdot P_{n_j}(x + y_j), \tag{62}$$

where $x \in R, y_j \in R, j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, and $P_{n_j}, j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, are the polynomials appearing in Lemma 3.

For any fixed real numbers $y_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, for $\alpha_k^{(1)}$ as for the function of x we have (cf. (2), (62))

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_n \alpha_k^{(1)} - \alpha_k^{(1)}\|_c &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{w(n_j)}} F_n(P_{n_j}(\cdot + y_j))(x) - P_{n_j}(x + y_j) \right\|_c \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{w(n_j)}} \cdot \|F_n P_{n_j}(x) - P_{n_j}(x)\|_c. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for any $j, j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, the polynomial $P_{n_j}(x)$ may be written in the form

$$P_{n_j}(x) = \sum_{m=-m_j}^{m_j} a_m e^{imx} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1).$$

Consequently, for $n > m_j$ (cf. (4), (6)), $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_n P_{n_j}(x) - P_{n_j}(x)\|_c &= \left\| \sum_{m=-m_j}^{m_j} a_m \rho_{m,n} e^{imx} - \sum_{m=-m_j}^{m_j} a_m e^{imx} \right\|_c \\ &\leq \sum_{m=-m_j}^{m_j} |a_m| O_m\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = O_j\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality we conclude that when $n > \max\{m_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$

$$\|F_n \alpha_k^{(1)} - \alpha_k^{(1)}\|_c \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_j)}} \cdot O_j\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \leq \frac{M_k}{n} \quad (63)$$

and (cf. (4)) analogously

$$\|T_n \alpha_k^{(1)} - \alpha_k^{(1)}\|_c \leq M_k/n \quad (64)$$

for all $y_j \in R, j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, where M_k is a positive constant independent of n and $y_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$.

Now we define the index n_k with $n_k > n_{k-1}$, $n_k > \max\{m_j, j = 1, \dots, k-1\}$ such that the inequalities (cf. (10), (15), (63))

$$\frac{1}{\omega(n_k)} \leq \frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{1}{\omega(n_{k-1})} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{1}{n_k \cdot \sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n_{k-1} \cdot \sqrt[4]{\omega(n_{k-1})}}, \quad (66)$$

$$\frac{M_k}{n_k} \leq \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{kn_k}, \quad (67)$$

$$\omega(n_{k-1}) \frac{4}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}} \leq \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_{k-1})}}{k \cdot n_{k-1}}, \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{4}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}} \leq \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_{k-1})}}{k \cdot n_{k-1}} \quad (69)$$

hold.

Thus we have obtained an infinite increasing sequence of indices $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$.

Now we use the theorem of N. Kirchoff and R. J. Nessel (cf. (8)). Instead of the set H_k we take 2π -periodic extension $A_{n_k}^*$ of the set A_{n_k} from the Lemma 3 corresponding to the number n_k . As a set D_k we take the set

$$D_k := \bigcup_{j=0}^{2n_k} \left(\frac{2\pi j}{2n_k + 1} + \frac{1}{2n_k \cdot \sqrt{\omega(n_k)}}, \frac{2\pi(j+1)}{2n_k + 1} - \frac{1}{2n_k \sqrt{\omega(n_k)}} \right). \quad (70)$$

We see (cf. (70), (10), (15))

$$\begin{aligned} \mu D_k &= (2n_k + 1) \cdot \left(\frac{2\pi}{2n_k + 1} - \frac{1}{n_k \sqrt{\omega(n_k)}} \right) \\ &= 2\pi - o(1) \quad (k \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for all $t \in (0, 2\pi)$ (cf. (20), (70))

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\mu(D_k \cap (A_{n_k}^* - t))}{\mu D_k} \geq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\gamma_1 - o(1)}{2\pi} = +\infty$$

and thus, condition (8) holds. From the theorem of N. Kirchoff and R. J. Nessel we conclude that there exist points $y_k^{(0)} \in D_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, such that the set

$$A := \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (A_{n_k}^* - y_k^{(0)}) \quad (71)$$

is a set of full measure.

We introduce the functions ($k = 1, 2, \dots$)

$$\varphi_k(x) := P_{n_k}(x + y_k^{(0)}) \quad (x \in R). \quad (72)$$

Now we shall show that for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n_k$ we have

$$\frac{2\pi j}{2n_k + 1} + y_k^{(0)} \in E_{n_k}^*, \quad (73)$$

where $E_{n_k}^*$ is 2π -periodic extension of the set E_{n_k} (cf. (16)).

Indeed, $y_k^{(0)} \in D_k$ means that (cf. (70)) for some j_1 , $0 \leq j_1 \leq 2n_k$, one has

$$\frac{1}{2n_k \sqrt{\omega(n_k)}} + \frac{2\pi j_1}{2n_k + 1} < y_k^{(0)} < \frac{2\pi(j_1 + 1)}{2n_k + 1} - \frac{1}{2n_k \sqrt{\omega(n_k)}}.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{2n_k \sqrt{\omega(n_k)}} + \frac{2\pi(j+j_1)}{2n_k+1} < y_k^{(0)} + \frac{2\pi j}{2n_k+1} < \frac{2\pi(j+j_1+1)}{2n_k+1} - \frac{1}{2n_k \sqrt{\omega(n_k)}}.$$

Dividing the number $j+j_1$ by $2n_k+1$ we obtain

$$j+j_1 = (2n_k+1)q_k + r_k \quad (0 \leq r_k \leq 2n_k),$$

where q_k and r_k are nonnegative integers. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2n_k \sqrt{\omega(n_k)}} + 2\pi q_k + \frac{2\pi r_k}{2n_k+1} &< y_k^{(0)} + \frac{2\pi j}{2n_k+1} \\ &< 2\pi q_k + \frac{2\pi(r_k+1)}{2n_k+1} - \frac{1}{2n_k \sqrt{\omega(n_k)}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality means that (cf. (16))

$$y_k^{(0)} + \frac{2\pi j}{2n_k+1} \in E_{n_k} + 2\pi q_k \subset E_{n_k}^*.$$

Consequently (73) is proved.

From (73) it follows that (cf. (22), (72))

$$\left| \varphi_k \left(\frac{2\pi j}{2n_k+1} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n_k \omega(n_k)}, \quad j=0, 1, \dots, 2n_k, \quad k=1, 2, \dots \quad (74)$$

Consider the functions (cf. (72))

$$f(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_j)}} \cdot \varphi_j(x), \quad (75)$$

$$\gamma_k(x) := \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_j)}} \cdot \varphi_j(x), \quad (76)$$

$$\alpha_k(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_j)}} \cdot \varphi_j(x). \quad (77)$$

It is clear that (cf. (75), (76), (18), (65), (72))

$$\|f\|_c \leq 2 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_j)}} < +\infty \tag{78}$$

$$\|\gamma_k\|_c \leq 4 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_{k+1})}}. \tag{79}$$

Let $x \in A$ (cf. (71)). Then for infinitely many indices k we have

$$x \in A_{n_k}^* - y_k^{(0)}. \tag{80}$$

Fix any such k . We have (cf. (80))

$$x = a_k + 2\pi \cdot l_k - y_k^{(0)} \quad (l_k \in Z, a_k \in A_{n_k}). \tag{81}$$

Therefore (cf. (72), (2), (81))

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{n_k} \varphi_k(x) - \varphi_k(x)| &= |F_{n_k} P_{n_k}(a_k) - P_{n_k}(a_k)| \\ &\geq \frac{C_1 \sqrt{\omega(n_k)}}{n_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

According to (2), (75)–(77), (82), (67)–(69), (63), (62), (72), (17), (10), (15), and (79) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{n_k} f(x) - f(x)| &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}} |F_{n_k} \varphi_k(x) - \varphi_k(x)| \\ &\quad - |F_{n_k} \alpha_k(x) - \alpha_k(x)| - |F_{n_k} \gamma_k(x)| - |\gamma_k(x)| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}} \cdot \frac{C_1 \sqrt{\omega(n_k)}}{n_k} - \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{k \cdot n_k} \\ &\quad - \omega(n_k) \cdot \|\gamma_k\|_c - \|\gamma_k\|_c \\ &\geq \frac{C_1 \sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{n_k} - \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{k \cdot n_k} - \omega(n_k) \cdot \frac{4}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_{k+1})}} - \frac{4}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_{k+1})}} \\ &\geq C_1 \cdot \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{n_k} - \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{k \cdot n_k} - \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{(k+1) \cdot n_k} - \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{(k+1) \cdot n_k} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{n_k} \cdot \left(C_1 - \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{n_k} \cdot (C_1 - o(1)) \quad (k \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

From (1), (75)–(77), (3), (10), (15), (72), (63), (74), (72), (67), (81), (19), (22), (79), (68), and (69) one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_{n_k} f(x) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}} \cdot (|T_{n_k} \varphi_k(x)| + |\varphi_k(x)|) \\
 &\quad + |T_{n_k} \alpha_k(x) - \alpha_k(x)| + |T_{n_k} \gamma_k(x)| + |\gamma_k(x)| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}} \cdot \left(\frac{\omega(n_k)}{2n_k + 1} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{2n_k} \left| \varphi_k \left(\frac{2\pi j}{2n_k + 1} \right) \right| + |P_{n_k}(x + y_k^{(0)})| \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{k \cdot n_k} + \omega(n_k) \cdot \|\gamma_k\|_c + \|\gamma_k\|_c \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n_k} + \frac{1}{n_k \cdot \omega(n_k)} \right) + \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{k \cdot n_k} \\
 &\quad + \omega(n_k) \cdot \frac{4}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_{k+1})}} + \frac{4}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_{k+1})}} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{n_k \cdot \sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}} + \frac{1}{n_k \omega(n_k) \cdot \sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}} + \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{k \cdot n_k} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{(k+1)n_k} + \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{(k+1) \cdot n_k} \\
 &= \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{n_k} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}} + \frac{1}{\omega(n_k) \cdot \sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}} + \frac{1}{k} + \frac{2}{k+1} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\sqrt[4]{\omega(n_k)}}{n_k} \cdot o(1) \quad (k \rightarrow \infty). \tag{84}
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently (cf. (71), (78), (9), (83), and (84)) the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

1. A. M. GARSIA, "Topics in almost Everywhere Convergence," Marcham, Chicago, 1970.
2. N. KIRCHOFF AND R. J. NESSEL, Divergence almost everywhere of a pointwise comparison of trigonometric convolution processes with their discrete analogues, *J. Approx. Theory* **70**, No. 1 (1992), 29–38.
3. S. M. LOSINSKI, On an analogy between the summation of Fourier series and that of interpolation trigonometric polynomials, *C. R. Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.)* **39**, (1943), 83–87.
4. S. M. LOSINSKI, On convergence and summability of Fourier series and interpolation processes, *Mat. Sb.* **14**, No. 56 (1944), 175–268.
5. A. ZYGMUND, "Trigonometric Series," Vol. II, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1968.